Off-ground Harvest of Almonds: Preliminary Technoeconomic Cost and Benefit Analysis with Analysis of Barriers to Adoption

Christopher Simmons Department of Food Science and Technology, UC Davis



Understand the economic opportunities and risks associated with potential off-ground harvesting approaches compared to conventional methods.



Goals

Inform decision making on off-ground harvest strategy

- Highlight technological aspects of off-ground harvest that are cost drivers and warrant research to reduce cost
- Identify goods and services that are cost drivers for offground harvest to gauge effect of price volatility
- Identify cost drivers for off-ground harvest that could be targets for new policies and incentives





















Off-ground harvesting



Off-ground harvesting























Scenarios

Scenarios

Economic metrics

Expected effects

Losses due to windfall; may be affected by

- Region
- Variety
- Harvest schedule

Harvesters; effect currently unknown; rental cost will be affected by

- Capital cost
- Fuel/labor demand/cost
- Lifespan/depreciation
- Maintenance cost

Cultural practices

- Fewer pest control measures needed
- Less stringent
 leveling needed

Harvest operations

 Blowing/sweeping are avoided

(desirable)

 Pickup may be avoided

(undesirable)

Change in net return per acre above total costs relative to conventional practices (\$/acre)

Sources

Prior cost study by UC Davis and UC Cooperative Extension

28

Experts from

...along with cost data from additional vendors and service providers

Model developed for a hypothetical orchard

- 100 acre orchard
- >4 years old
- 2200 lb/acre yield
- \$2.50/lb selling price
- 1% windfall
- Conventional sanitation, fertilization, irrigation, pest management, pruning, pollination etc. agree with existing cost study

Off-ground harvesters

- Off-ground harvesters can be utilized at a cost similar to conventional harvesters
- Off-ground harvesters
 have no loss

Drying lot scenario

- Drying lot sized 5-7 acres
- Land not currently used for production
- Land either owned by grower or leased near orchard
- Hand raking is required

Mechanical drying scenario

 Mechanical drying of almonds can scale to accommodate all offground harvesting

Predicted ranges

Results - Detailed

Results

39

	Off-ground harvest + mechanical drying	+\$120
	Off-ground harvest + lot drying with tarping	+\$86
	Off-ground harvest + lot drying with soil amendment stabilization	+\$92
	Off-ground harvest + lot drying	+\$151
	Off-ground harvest + soil stabilization + in-orchard windrow drying + low dust pickup	-\$137
	Off-ground harvest + in-orchard windrow drying + low dust pickup	+\$53
	Off-ground harvest + soil stabilization + in-orchard windrow drying	-\$115
	Off-ground harvest + in-orchard windrow drying	+\$75
	Conventional harvest + soil stabilization	-\$190
	Conventional harvest + low-dust pickup	-\$23
	Conventional harvest	-\$0

EXPECTED DUST MITIGATION

Research targets

- How much windfall occurs? What is the quality of windfall almonds?
- Can drying on tarped soil reduce dust during pickup? Can tarps withstand pickup machines?
- Can soil stabilizers reduce dust during almond pickup? Do such stabilizers affect almond quality?
- What are the optimal drying conditions for almonds in various mechanical dryer and static pile formats?
- What are appropriate drying lot conditions (layer thickness, turning, duration)?

Acknowledgements

University of California

- Kaiwen (Kevin) Wang
- Patrick Brown
- Mae Culumber

Almond Board of California

- Guangwei Huang
- Karen Lapsley
- Gabriele Ludwig
- Sebastian Saa
- Harvest Workgroup

Rory Crowley, Nicolaus Nut Co., Chico, CA Scott Hermann, Tol Inc, Tulare, CA Yaron Michelson, Afron, Pardess Hanna, Israel Mayo Ryan, North State Hulling, Chico,CA

